Effect of the preparation conditions on the properties of Fe-Pt nanoparticles produced by sonoelectrodeposition

Nguyen Hoang Luong*, Nguyen Hoang Hai, Nguyen Dang Phu

Center for Materials Science, Faculty of Physics, College of Science, VNU 334 Nguyen Trai, Hanoi, Vietnam

Received 10 Macrh 2009

Abstract. Fe-Pt materials have been widely prepared by vacuum evaporation technique. Recently, chemical and physicochemical methods have been successfully used to make Fe-Pt nanoparticles, thin films. This paper reported another physicochemical method, namely sonoelectrodeposition, to produce Fe-Pt nanoparticles. In the sonoelectrodeposition, the electrodeposition process was assisted with a sonicator. The Ti horn of the sonicator played a role as the cathode on which Fe-Pt nanoparticles were deposited. After a certain time of deposition, a sonic pulse was applied to remove the particles from the Ti cathode. The composition of Fe-Pt particles can be controlled by changing the concentration of Fe and Pt ions in the electrolyte and the deposition voltage. The particle size can be adjusted by the time of deposition. The as-deposited Fe-Pt nanoparticles were ferromagnetic at room temperature. Upon annealing at 700°C for 1 h under H₂ atmosphere, the saturation magnetization and the coercivity of the nanoparticles were improved significantly. Sonoelectrodeposition is a promising technique to make large quantity of Fe-Pt nanoparticles.

Keywords: FePt; L1₀ structure; sonoelectrodeposition; magnetic nanoparticles; hard magnetic materials..

1. Introduction

FePt alloy can be in either a disordered face-centered cubic (fcc) phase in which the statistical distribution of the Fe and Pt atoms is substitutionally random, or in a partially or completely ordered face-centered tetragonal (fct) phase in which Fe and Pt atoms occupy specific sites. The ordered phase can change to the disordered phase and vice versa at elevate temperatures [1]. The fct FePt alloy possesses excellent hard magnetic properties with the saturation magnetization, $\mu_0 M_s$, of 1.4 T, the Currie temperature, T_c , of 750 K, and the crystalline anisotropy K_1 , of 7 MJ/m³ [2]. Despite the high cost of Pt, FePt thin films or particles have been paid much attention for their use as ultrahigh density magnetic storage media and microelectronic mechanical system (MEMS) due to the mechanical and chemical stability of the ordered fct L1₀ structure. Beside the equiatomic composition FePt, the Fe₃Pt and FePt₃ with L1₂ structure and less preferred magnetic properties can exist. The ordered FePt materials are normally obtained from the disordered materials via the order-disorder transition. But

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: (84-4) 38582216

E-mail: luongnh@vnu.edu.vn

sometimes the ordered phase can be obtained from pure Fe and Pt layers via the diffusion of the two materials at high temperatures [3].

There are several ways to make FePt nanostructured materials including physical techniques such as mechanical deformation [3], arc-melting [4], vacuum evaporation (sputtering, thermal evaporation) [5, 6], laser ablation pulse [7], chemical methods [8-10], and physicochemical method such as electrodeposition [11, 12]. Up to now, the vacuum evaporation is the most used method. Electrodeposition is a promising way to obtain FePt thin films because it is less expensive than physical methods, less complicated than chemical methods. But by this technique, it is difficult to get nanoparticles with large quantity. Sonoelectrochemistry was developed to make nanoparticles [13]. It combined the advantages of sonochemistry and electrodeposition. Sonochemistry is a very useful synthetic method which was discovered as early as 1934 that the application of ultrasonic energy could increase the rate of electrolytic water cleavage. The effects of ultrasonic radiation on chemical reactions are due to the very high temperatures and pressures, which develop in and around the collapsing bubble [14]. Sonoelectrochemistry has the potential benefit of combining sonochemistry with electrochemistry. Some of these beneficial effects include acceleration of mass transport, cleaning and degassing of the electrode surface, and an increased reaction rate [15]. In this paper, we report the use of the sonoelectrochemical method for the preparation of FePt nanoparticles.

2. Experimental

The sonoelectrochemical device employed is similar to that described in ref. [16]. A titanium horn with diameter of 1.3 cm acted as both the cathode and ultrasound emitter (Sonics VCX 750). The electroactive part of the sonoelectrode was the planar circular surface at the bottom of the Ti horn. An isolating plastic jacket covered the immersed cylindrical part. This sonoelectrode produced a sonic pulse that immediately followed a current pulse. One pulse driver was used to control a galvanostat and the ultrasonic processor, which was adapted to work in the pulse mode. A home-made galvanostat (without using a reference electrode) was used to control the constant current regime. A platinum plate with a square of 1 cm^2 was used as a counter electrode. The current pulse was changed from 15 to 30 mA/cm². The ultrasound power density was 100 W/cm². The duration t_{on} of the current pulse was 0.5 – 0.8 s then the current was turned off for a fixed duration t_{off} of 0.5 s. During t_{on} , FePt nanoparticles were deposited on the surface of the electrode When the current was switched off, an ultrasound was activated to remove the nanoparticles from the electrode. The time of ultrasound was 0.2 s for all samples. The temperature during the reaction was room temperature. The volume of the electrolysis cell was 80 ml containing 1 mM/l H2PtCl₆, 0.1 M/l FeSO4, and 0.525 M/l Na2SO4. The chemicals were mixed under N_2 atmosphere. The pH = 3 of the solution was controlled by H_2SO_4 . After deposition, FePt nanoparticles were collected by using a centrifuge (Hettich Universal 320, 9000 RPM, 20 min). Nanoparticles were dried in air at 80°C for 20 min. All samples were annealed at 700°C for 1 h under H₂ atmosphere. The structure of the nanoparticles was analyzed by using a Bruker D5005 X-ray diffractometer (XRD). Magnetic measurement was conducted by using a DMS-880 sample vibrating magnetometer (VSM) with maximum magnetic field of 13.5 kOe at room temperature. The particle morphology was obtained from a transmission electron microscope (TEM JEM1010-JEOL). The chemical composition of the Fe-Pt nanoparticles was studied by using an energy dispersion spectroscopy (EDS OXFORD-ISIS 300). The thermal behaviour was examined by a differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, STD 2960 TA Instruments) over the temperature range of 25–750°C with heating rates of 10°C/min under flowing argon.

3. Results and discussion

The chemical composition of the Fe-Pt nanoparticles was controlled by adjusting the current density (corresponding to the applied voltage). When the current density of $15 - 20 \text{ mA/cm}^2$, the composition of nanoparticles was close to the expected equiatomic composition (Table 1). At higher current densities, the atomic percent of Fe was higher because the standard electrode potential Fe²⁺/Fe (-0.44 V [17]) is more negative than that of Pt⁴⁺/Pt (0.742 V [18]).

Table1.Chemical composition, magnetic properties of the samples. J is the current density; t_{on} is the deposition time of a pulse; Fe, Pt are the atomic percent of Fe and Pt in the samples, respectively; H_c is the coercivity; M_s is the magnetization at 13.5 kOe); M_r/M_s is the magnetic squareness

Name	J	ton	Fe	Pt	$H_{\rm c}$	M _s	$M_{\rm r}/M_{\rm s}$
	(mA/cm^2)	(s)	(at. %)	(at. %)	(kOe)	(emu/g)	
S1	30	0.5	69	31	5.0	40	0.26
S2	25	0.5	61	39	6.0	45	0.36
S 3	20	0.5	52	48	6.1	49	0.41
S4	15	0.5	45	55	8.5	23	0.70
S5	15	0.6	-	-	9.1	24	0.72
S6	15	0.7	-	-	8.5	30	0.4
S 7	15	0.8	-	-	8.7	43	0.4



Fig. 1. TEM images of the as-prepared (left) and annealed (right) FePt nanoparticles (700°C/1 h).

Figure 1 is the TEM images of typical as-prepared and annealed samples. Particle size of the asprepared FePt sample was 5 - 10 nm. After annealing the particle size increased to 10 - 25 nm due to the aggregation and particle growth. In addition, the size distribution of the annealed particles was larger than that of the as-prepared samples.

Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns of the as-prepared and the annealed S4 nanoparticles (700°C for 1 h). Before annealing, the XRD results showed the reflections of pure Pt structure, which is similar to other FePt thin films produced by electrodeposition [19]. However, authors in Ref. [19] thought that the reflections were from the disordered fcc phase. For the fcc phase, XRD results present only the fundamental reflections which are (111), (200), and (220). The fundamental reflections of the fcc FePt are close to the (111), (200), and (220) reflections of the Pt that make some scientists thought that they are of the fcc structure. We propose that XRD results from our as-prepared nanoparticles and from Ref. [19] are the peaks of only Pt. The reflections from Fe are very weak due to the fact that their

atomic weight is much less than that of Pt which is similar to the XRD result of FePt foils prepared by cold deformation [20]. The Pt peaks in the as-prepared samples are broad due to the small size of the particles. Using the Scherrer formula with the full width at half maximum of the strongest peak (111), the mean particle size of Pt particles was deduced to be 5.2 nm which is much smaller than the particle size obtained from the TEM image. The particles were not disordered FePt but they can be formed by many small domains of pure Fe and Pt. The formation of FePt by electrodeposition was not occurred and may be ascribed to the large difference in the standard electrode potential of the Fe²⁺/Fe and Pt⁴⁺/Pt. Upon annealing, the formation of the ordered L1₀ fct phase happened by the diffusion process between Fe and Pt domains.



Fig. 2. XRD patterns (Cu Kα radiation) of the as-prepared (bottom) and annealed (top) nanoparticles compared to those of the intensities for L1₀ FePt (pdf file 431359) and for Pt (marked by the astericks, pdf file 04-0802). The fundamental peaks of FePt structure were denoted by "f" and the superlattice peaks were denoted by "s".



Fig. 3. DSC trace for the FePt nanoparticles (heating rate 10°C/min).

Figure 3 presents the DSC trace for the FePt nanoparticles in the temperature range from 25°C to 750°C. There was a broad peak located at about 350°C. It is known that if there is a order-disorder transformation, i.e., the transformation of the disordered fcc to the ordered fct phase, there will be a sharp peak in the range from 367° C – 440° C with the full width at half maximum of 56° C – 120° C on the heat flow [21]. The broad peak on the DSC trace in the FePt nanoparticles suggests that there was no such transition in the FePt nanoparticles upon annealing. The formation of the L1₀ FePt phase was

via the diffusion process of Fe and Pt domains because the diffusion occurred at any temperature. Both fundamental and superlattice reflections of the $L1_0$ phase were presented in Fig. 2. There was a small peak next to the (111) main peak of the $L1_0$ FePt which can be assigned to the Pt-rich FePt phase – FePt₃. The presence of this phase can be explained by the incomplete diffusion between Fe and Pt domains



Fig. 4. Magnetic curves of sample S4. The maximum applied field was 13.5 kOe.

Magnetic measurements revealed low saturation magnetization (M_s) and coercivity (H_c) in all asprepared samples (data not shown). The saturation magnetization of the unannealed particles was about few emu/g and the coercivity was 20 – 80 Oe. The low value of M_s of the as-prepared nanoparticles may be explained by the oxidation or hydroxidation of Fe atoms in nanoparticles which can result in the weak magnetic iron oxides and iron hydroxides. This is in agreement with the suggestion of separated Fe and Pt domains in as-prepared nanoparticles. It is known that FePt with high saturation magnetization is a chemically stable material. Therefore it is difficult to be oxidized to form weak ferromagnetic materials After annealing the hard magnetic FePt phase was formed. Figure 4 presents the magnetic curve of the annealed S4 as an example. The curve shows a typical hard magnetic hysteresis loops with high H_c . Note that, because of the limit of maximum applied field of 13.5 kOe, the curve is a minor loop. Therefore, the real coercivity must be higher than those obtained from the hysteresis curves. However, the loop shows a kink at low reversed magnetic field of 500 Oe, which is indicates that there was a small amount of a soft magnetic phase. Classically, the coercivity is defined as the field for which the magnetization (M) vanishes (H'_c) . In a more physically meaningful definition, the coercivity H_c may be defined as the field where the largest number of moments reverses, i.e., the maximum of the susceptibility (dM/dH). In most cases, both definitions of the coercivity are almost equivalent. However in multiphase materials, two definitions are significantly different [22]. From Fig. 4, H'_c was 6 kOe whereas H_c was 8.5 kOe. The values of all studied samples are given in Table 1 and Fig. 5. Coercivity gets maximum value (equal or higher than 8.5 kOe) in the samples with the chemical composition of the particles close to the equiatomic composition, i.e., samples S4 – S7. Magnetization at the highest applied field (13.5 kOe), M_s , and the magnetic squareness (defined as M_r/M_s , where M_r is the remanent magnetization) of S4 are 23 emu/g and 0.70, respectively. Similar magnetic properties have been obtained for other samples. When the current density J reduced, the coercivity increased and with $J = 15 \text{ mA/cm}^2$, H_c was equal or larger than 8.5 kOe and almost did not change significantly with t_{on} . This is because with $J = 15 \text{ mA/cm}^2$, the chemical composition of nanoparticles are close to the equiatomic composition. The atomic percent of Fe increased with J which resulted in the high M_s (samples S1 – S3) as shown in Table 1. However,

the magnetic squareness M_r/M_s reduced with J because of the presence of the Fe₃Pt. Of cause, the kink in the magnetic curves was more evident in the samples with high Fe content.



Fig. 5. Dependence of magnetic squareness and coercivity on current density J (top) and deposition time t_{on} (bottom).

In another series of samples, we fixed the current density and change the deposition time t_{on} . We thought that by changing t_{on} , the size of particles would change and therefore the magnetic properties of the particles would be affected. After annealing, H_c did not change with t_{on} as significantly as M_s did. The coercivity of S4 – S7 was in the range from 8.5 kOe to 9.1 kOe (Table 1 and Fig. 5). This can be explained by the fact that the chemical composition mainly depended on the current density. Magnetic properties of the samples S4 and S5 were almost the same. Sample S5 showed the most preferred hard magnetic properties. The magnetic squareness showed a sudden drop and M_s showed a strong change when the deposition time was longer than 0.6 s as shown in sample S6 and S7 which presented strong ratio of a soft magnetic phase. In our experiment, we only used 0.08 mM (corresponding to 1 mM/l) Pt⁴⁺ in a bath of 80 ml which was enough to make about 20 mg FePt nanoparticles. For long deposition time, the concentration of Pt⁴⁺ in the electrolyte reduced significantly with time. Therefore, there were more Fe²⁺ ions deposited on the Ti horn than Pt⁴⁺ ions. As the result, there can be more Fe in samples S6 and S7 which caused their low magnetic squareness and high M_s .

4. Conclusion

Sonoelectrochemistry is a promising method to make FePt magnetic nanoparticles. FePt nanoparticles made by this technique had the size of 10 - 20 nm. After annealing, the nanoparticles

showed a high coercivity of 9.1 kOe at room temperature. This method possesses some advantages compared to common methods such as simple preparation, low-cost equipment and easy scale-up.

Acknowledgments. This work is supported by the Key Project QGTD.08.05 of Vietnam National University, Hanoi and the European Commission Project Selectnano-TTC (Contract No. 516922). The authors would like to thank Prof. Le Van Vu for XRD measurements and Mr. Pham Van Dinh for experimental assistance.

References

- [1] J.P. Liu, C.P. Kuo, Y. Liu, D.J. Sellmyer, Appl. Phys. Lett. 72 (1998) 483.
- [2] A. Cebollada, R.F.C. Farrow, M.F. Toney, in: H.S. Nalwa (Ed.), Magnetic Nanostructure, *American Scientific*, (2002) 93.
- [3] N.H. Hai, N.M. Dempsey, M. Veron, M. Verdier, D. Givord, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 257 (2003) L139.
- [4] Q.I. Xiao, P.D. Thang, E. Brück, F.R. de Boer, and K.H.J. Buschow, Appl. Phys. Lett. 78 (2001) 3672.
- [5] N.T.T. Van, N.H. Hai, N.H. Luong, V.V. Hiep, N. Chau, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 52 (2008) 1435.
- [6] N.H. Luong, V.V. Hiep, D.M. Hong, N. Chau, N.D. Linh, M. Kurisu, D.T.K. Anh, G. Nakamoto, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 290-291 (2005) 559.
- [7] L.J. Qiu, J. Ding, A.O. Adeyeye, J.H. Yin, J.S. Chen, S. Goolaup, N. Singh, IEEE Trans. Magn. 43 (2007) 2157.
- [8] S. Saita, S. Maenosono, Chem. Mater. 17 (2005) 3705.
- [9] R. Harpeness, A. Gedanken, J. Mater. Chem. 15 (2005) 698.
- [10] S. Sun, C.B. Murray, D. Weller, L. Folks, A. Moser, Science 287 (2000) 1989.
- [11] K. Žužek Rožman, A. Krause, K. Leistner, S. Fähler, L. Schultz, H. Schlörb, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 314 (2007) 116.
- [12] F.M.F. Rhen, G. Hinds, C. O'Reilly, J.M.D. Coey, IEEE Trans. Magn. 39 (2003) 2699.
- [13] A. Gedanken, in D. Bahadur, S. Vitta, O. Prakash (Ed.), Inorganic Materials: Recent Advances, (2004) 302.
- [14] K.S. Suslick, S.B. Choe, A.A. Cichowlas, M.W. Grinstaff, Nature 354 (1991) 414.
- [15] T.J. Mason, J.P. Walton, D.J. Lorimer, Ultrasonics 28 (1990) 333.
- [16] J.J. Zhu, S.T. Aruna, Yu. Koltypin, A. Gedanken, Chem. Mater. 12 (2000) 143.
- [17] P. Atkins, Physical Chemistry, (1997) 6th edition (W.H. Freeman and Company, New York).
- [18] A.J. Bard, L.R. Faulkner, Electrochemical Methods. *Fundamentals and Applications*, 2001, 2nd edition (John Wiley and Sons Inc).
- [19] Q. Zeng, Y. Zhang, H.L. Wang, V. Papaefthymiou, G.C. Hadjipanayis, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 272-276 (2004) e1223.
- [20] N.H. Hai, N.M. Dempsey, D. Givord, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 262 (2003) 353.
- [21] J. Lyubina, O. Gutfleisch, Ralph Skomski, K.-H. Muller, L. Schultz, Scripta Mater. 53 (2005) 469.
- [22] D. Givord and M.F. Rossignol, Coercivity, in J.M.D. Coey (Ed.), *Rare-earth Iron Permanent Magnets*, 1996, Clarendon Press: Oxford, p. 218.